DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 475 107 EA 032 465

AUTHOR	Beghetto, Ron
TITLE	Scientifically Based Research.
INSTITUTION	ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management, Eugene, OR.; National Association of Elementary School Principals, Alexandria, VA.
SPONS AGENCY	Institute of Education Sciences (ED), Washington, DC.
PUB DATE	2003-00-00
NOTE	6p.; Published four times per year. Theme issue.
CONTRACT	ED-99-CO-0011
AVAILABLE FROM	National Association of Elementary School Principals, 1615 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314 ($2.50 each; 10+ copies, $2 each). Tel: 703-684-3345; Tel: 800-386-2377 (Toll Free); Web site: http://www.naesp.org.
PUB TYPE	Collected Works - Serials (022) -- Information Analyses (070) -- ERIC Publications (071)
JOURNAL CIT	Research Roundup; v19 n3 Spr 2003
EDRS PRICE	EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS	*Comprehensive School Reform; Educational Development; *Educational Research; Elementary Secondary Education; Literature Reviews

ABSTRACT

Most principals are aware that the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 makes it mandatory for school leaders who depend on federal funding to select and implement programs that are based on scientific research. This publication reviews five documents that offer insights into what is meant by scientifically based research and help school leaders evaluate the scientific basis of practices and programs. In Senate testimony, Grover J. Whitehurst stresses the need for increasing the amount of scientific research in education. A report by the Comprehensive School Reform Program Office provides school leaders with guidance on the use of scientific research in initiating comprehensive reform efforts. In "Scientifically-Based Research," Stephen Raudenbush anticipates and addresses questions that educators might have about the nature and implications of scientific research in education. Evelyn Jacob and C. Stephen White edited a special theme issue of "Educational Researcher" on scientific research in education. (RT)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

ED 475 107

Scientifically Based Research. Research Roundup.

Ron Beghetto

Spring 2003

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

☑ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

W. T. Greenleaf

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

EA 032 465

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Research roundup
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL PRINCIPALS
VOLUME 19, NUMBER 3
SPRING 2003

Scientifically Based Research

Ron Beghetto

Most principals are aware that the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) of 2001 makes it mandatory for school leaders who depend on federal funding to select and implement programs that are based on scientific research.

The notion of scientifically based research in education is not new. Over the years, the federal government's efforts to make education a more scientific field has steadily progressed from the Cooperative Research Act of 1954 to the creation of the National Institute of Education in the early 1970s, later absorbed by the Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI). Two recent federal programs, the Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration Program and the Reading Excellence Act, emphasize research-based reforms.

Ron Beghetto is an assistant professor of teacher education at the University of Oregon. He has conducted research on data-based decision-making, educational evaluation, and teacher development.

This article was commissioned by the ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management at the University of Oregon for publication by NAESP.

In the latest reorganization of the U.S. Department of Education, OERI has been replaced by the Institute of Education Sciences (IES). One of the institute's first projects is the What Works Clearinghouse (available online at **www.w-w-c.org/about.html**), designed as a resource for educational decision-makers in selecting programs and practices based on scientific research.

Although there are no simple rules for determining whether the research base of a particular program or set of practices is scientific, useful guidelines and considerations have been developed that can assist principals and other school leaders in their decision-making.

The five documents reviewed in this *Research Roundup* offer insights into what is meant by scientifically based research, provide important considerations for school leaders when evaluating the scientific basis of practices and programs, and highlight the complexities involved in determining what programs and practices are most appropriate for a particular school.

Grover J. Whitehurst stresses the need for increasing the amount of scientific research in education.

The Comprehensive School Reform Program Office provides school leaders with guidance on the use of scientific research in initiating comprehensive reform efforts.

Stephen Raudenbush anticipates and addresses questions that educators might have about the nature and implications of scientific research in education.

Richard J. Shavelson and Lisa Towne provide a National Research Council primer on scientific research in education.

Evelyn Jacob and C. Stephen White edit a special theme issue of *Educational Researcher* on scientific research in education.

Whitehurst, Grover J. **"Statement of Grover J. Whitehurst, Assistant Secretary for Research and Improvement, Before the Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions."** Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Education, June 2002. Available online at **www.ed.gov/offices/IES/speeches**

In Senate testimony, Grover Whitehurst, director of the U. S. Department of Education's reorganized Institute for Education Sciences, focuses on the federal government's

EA032465

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

commitment to scientifically based research in education, explains why the current emphasis on this type of research is important, and identifies key areas of research within the Department of Education that are in need of change.

Whitehurst explains that adherence to scientifically based research will be a critical factor in the funding decisions and endorsement of programs that fall under the purview of NCLB. He argues that the research base surrounding key educational issues is thin to nonexistent, and that learning how to read is the only major program area that has "a substantial and persuasive research base." He claims that our lack of scientific knowledge in education "is masked by a 'folk wisdom'...[that] employs unsystematic techniques...doesn't demand scientific knowledge...is inefficient, and...is hit or miss."

Whitehurst draws analogies between the current state of education and the premodern "folk practices" and "folk wisdom" of medicine and agriculture. He notes that significant advances in medicine and agriculture have resulted from a reliance on science and clinical trials, and asserts "there is every reason to believe that, if we invest in the education sciences and develop mechanisms to encourage evidence-based practices, we will see progress and transformation...of the same order and magnitude as we have seen in medicine and agriculture."

Comprehensive School Reform Program Office, Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, U.S. Department of Education. **Scientifically Based Research and the Comprehensive School Reform (CSR) Program.** Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Education, August 2002. 18 pages. Available online at **www.ed.gov/offices/OESE/compreform/appendc.pdf**.

Administrators who seek federal funds to help defray the cost of implementing comprehensive school reform are required to select a reform program that "has been found, through scientifically based research, to significantly improve the academic achievement of students...or has been found to have strong evidence that such a program will significantly improve the academic achievement of participating children."

This document provides school leaders with guidance on how to evaluate the scientific basis of reform strategies, methods, and programs by applying the following criteria:

Systematic and empirical. Research should involve careful planning, have a sound theoretical foundation, be grounded in observational and experimental data collected from multiple sources, and ensure that claims are supported by measurable evidence.

Rigorous data analysis. Analyses of data should utilize appropriate methods, address questions of interest, account for complexities of the data, and justify the general conclusions drawn from the study.

Reliable and valid data collection. Data should be collected professionally and consistently to ensure that repeated measurements under similar conditions produce similar results, and that the collected data measure the outcomes they were designed to measure.

Strong research design. The research design should maximize the researcher's ability to answer the questions of the study and/or to test a hypothesis.

Results that allow for replication. Studies should present results with sufficient detail to ensure that replication and extension studies can be undertaken, and that the results are accessible and useful to practitioners.

Expert scrutiny. Research studies should undergo quality control from independent evaluators, such as peer reviewers from a scientific journal or an independent panel of experts.

Raudenbush, Stephen. **"Scientifically-Based Research."** U.S. Department of Education Seminar on Scientifically-Based Research, February 2002. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Education. Available online at **www.ed.gov/offices/OESE/esea/research/**

A key section of this paper (one of several presentations at a U. S. Department of Education seminar on scientifically based research that are available at the above Web site) addresses questions pertaining to scientific methods in educational research, including the following:

Do studies have to use random assignment to be considered scientific?

While random assignment is useful in establishing cause and effect because it controls for variables, a study can be considered scientific without it. However, the researcher must show that confounding variables were controlled and did not bias the outcome.

Are randomized studies possible in education?

Although randomized studies can-

About ERIC

The Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) is a national information system operated by the Institute of Education Sciences (IES). The ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management, one of 16 such units in the system, was established at the University of Oregon in 1966.

Research Roundup is prepared by the Clearinghouse with funding from IES, U.S. Department of Education, under contract no. ED-99-CO-0011. No federal funds were used in the printing.

ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management, 5207 University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403-5207. Phone 800-438-8841.
Web site: **http://eric.uoregon.edu**

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

not answer every educational question, such studies are useful and should be employed with greater frequency. Raudenbush highlights several current and ongoing studies as examples, including the Tennessee class-size experiment, evaluations of school reform, and randomized studies of vouchers.

Does qualitative research play a role in making educational research more scientific?

Qualitative research serves the important function of providing vivid descriptions of how and why programs do and do not work.

How can insights be combined from various kinds of inquiry?

Drawing on medical research that has established the link between smoking and lung cancer, Raudenbush illustrates how non-experiments (surveys), true experiments (animal studies), and qualitative studies (examining lung tissue) "created a new consensus among scientists who had previously disagreed that smoking causes lung cancer."

Is there a risk in unrestrained enthusiasm for scientific research?

Raudenbush cautions against overestimating what science can offer education, explaining that "scientific work can inform but never replace the judgment of policy makers, practitioners, and parents." He favors rigorous peer review to help prevent the overselling of the promises of science.

Shavelson, Richard J., and Lisa Towne (Eds.). **Scientific Research in Education**. Washington, D.C.: Committee on Scientific Principles in Education, National Research Council, 2002. 204 pages. Available online at **www.nap.edu**.

This National Research Council report serves as a reasonably balanced and accessible primer on the topic of scientific research in education. The peer-reviewed report was generated by a diverse committee of prominent scholars who accepted the charge from the National Educational Policy and Priorities Board of the U. S. Department of Education to examine the nature and scope of scientifically based research in education and to consider how a federal agency can support and sustain such research.

Several themes germane to educational research are put forward in this report. By carefully considering these themes, educational leaders will be in a better position to understand the nature of scientific research in education and determine the scientific basis of their present school programs and practices.

General scientific principles. The authors argue that these six general principles characterize all scientific research, including scientifically based educational research.

- Poses significant questions that can be investigated empirically;
- Links research to relevant theory;
- Uses methods that permit direct investigation;
- Provides a coherent and explicit chain of reasoning;
- Replicates and generalizes across studies; and
- Encourages professional scrutiny and critique.

Accumulation of knowledge. The accumulation of scientific knowledge takes time, develops from diverse methods, relies on multiple studies across varied contexts, and complies with the norms and evidentiary standards of a scientific community. Conclusions regarding the causes and effects of any particular program or practice can rarely, if ever, be made on the basis of a single study.

Defining a scientific study. The design of a study is not sufficient for considering whether or not it is scientific. Rather, the scientific nature of a study is determined by its alignment with the general scientific principles outlined above and its adherence to the rigorous, self-regulated norms of a scientific community.

The nature of education. Because education is a complex, diverse, and value-laden field, it is important to carefully consider the unique features of a particular school context when determining whether theories and findings from research studies will meaningfully generalize to meet specific needs.

Jacob, Evelyn, and C. Stephen White (Eds.). **Theme Issue on Scientific Research in Education.** Educational Researcher 31, 8 (November 2002). Available online at **www.aera.net/pubs/er/toc/er3108.htm**

A recent theme issue of *Educational Researcher* included reactions from several prominent educational researchers, representing a variety of approaches and perspectives, to an article written by three key contributors to the National Research Council's report on Scientific Research in Education. In that article, Michael Feuer, Lisa Towne, and Richard Shavelson assert that the recent federal requirements for scientifically based research create an opportunity to consider means of improving educational research. They presented four arguments in support of developing a "scientific culture of educational research" as a means for enhancing the scientific basis of educational research:

- Nurturing and reinforcing a scientific culture of educational research is a critical task for promoting better research.
- Scientific culture is a set of norms and practices within an ethos of honesty, openness, and continuous reflection.
- Individual researchers and research institutions have the responsibility for developing such a scientific culture.
- A federal educational research agency and the American Educational Research Association (AERA) can and must play crucial leadership roles in fostering, supporting, and protecting a scientific culture among their grantees and members.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

In their response, James Pellegrino and Susan Goldman see diversity within educational research as a strength and declare that "educational research is often better served by the multidisciplinary, researcher-practitioner team approach." They explain that one of the most important criticisms of educational research is that it often lacks a meaningful connection to practice. They assert that educational researchers must include practitioners in their research community so as to better understand and address problems of practice.

David Berliner focuses on the complexities inherent in conducting research in education. For example, he notes that teachers' characteristics affect and are simultaneously affected by student and environmental characteristics. He also observes that changes in social and cultural environments can render findings from prior scientific research irrelevant.

Frederick Erickson and Kris Gutierrez explain that focusing only on "what works" can blind educators to important aspects and unanticipated outcomes of education processes. The authors admonish educational researchers and the consumers of research to develop a critical and realistic stance toward science. They stress the need for explicitly recognizing the complexity inherent in conducting educational research and the importance of multiple methods and perspectives as a means for promoting "positive educational change."

Elizabeth Adams St. Pierre criticizes the NRC report's rejection of postmodernism and the diversity of approaches included within that category. She argues for the need "to keep educational research an open field of play in which science does not obstruct but enables the proliferation of knowledge." □

Research Roundup is published four times during the school year by the National Association of Elementary School Principals. Single copies: $2.50; bulk orders (10 or more): $2.00 ea. Virginia residents add 4.5% sales tax. Specify date and title of issue when ordering. Checks payable to NAESP must accompany order. Send to National Principals Resource Center, 1615 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314-3483.

Classroom Instruction That Works:
Research-Based Strategies for Increasing Student Achievement
by Robert J. Marzano, Debra J. Pickering, and Jane E. Pollock



What works in education? How do we know? How can teachers find out? How can educational research find its way into the classroom? How can we apply it to help individual students? Questions like these arise in most schools and busy educators often don't have time to find the answers. The authors have examined decades of research findings and distilled the results into nine broad teaching strategies that have positive effects on student learning. Each chapter presents extended classroom examples of teachers and students in action; models of successful instruction; and many frames, rubrics, organizers, and charts to help teachers plan and implement the strategies.

Item # CITW-RR0403
$24.95 members/$29.95 non-members
Please add $4.50 for shipping and handling.

K-12 Principals' Guide to No Child Left Behind
by NAESP

This thought-provoking guide to the No Child Left Behind Act will help you understand the implications of the act as they relate to the children and youth in your schools. With this information, you'll be able to discuss the provisions of the act with your staff, community, and media, and more fully understand how the law affects you as a principal.

Item # LNCB-RR0403
$22.00 members/$29.00 non-members
Please add $4.50 for shipping and handling.

To order, call the National Principals Resource Center at 800-386-2377, fax to 800-396-2377, or send check or purchase order to: National Principals Resource Center, 1615 Duke St., Alexandria, VA 22314-3483. Place your order online in the Members Only section at **www.naesp.org/login.html** and receive a 10 percent discount.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

NOTICE

Reproduction Basis

[X] This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.

[ ] This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").